**Community Employment Plans**

OxLEP is seeking to facilitate the implementation of Community Employment Plans (CEP’s) in Oxfordshire.

Recommendation:

* The Growth Board endorse the CEP Evidence Paper;
* The CEP Evidence Paper is published on OxLEP’s website as evidence for CEP policies in Local Plans;
* OxLEP continue its work with each Local Planning Authority to facilitate the implementation of CEP policies and to request CEP’s as a condition on development sites which meet the proposed threshold.

Below is an overview of the approach to CEP’s. The full CEP Evidence Paper is attached as Annex 1 to this note.

The work to implement CEP’s into planning policy has been in progress for some time. The approach has been presented at the Growth Board Executive Officer’s Group and the post-SHMA group amongst others. At each stage overall support for the approach has been received. OxLEP is requesting that this Evidence Paper is now signed off and the focus move to delivery.

CEP’s are employer-led initiatives which can form part of S106 planning obligations for significant developments. The measures contained within CEP’s seek to mitigate the impacts of development through ensuring that local people can better access job opportunities arising from development. The outcomes in CEP’s should apply at the construction phase and end-use phase where appropriate, and are likely to include:

* Apprenticeships;
* Employment/training initiatives for all ages;
* Best endeavours to maximise local labour;
* School, college and university engagement initiatives.

It is recommended that CEP’s are focused on the sites with 1,000 or more houses and/or 4,000 square metres (gross) of floor space. There are currently 34 proposed sites in Oxfordshire where this suggested threshold might apply.

The requirement to complete a CEP as part of planning conditions or as a S106 obligation is well established in locations such as Leicester, Portsmouth and Reading. Therefore there is clear precedent for this approach. The justification for the use of CEP’s is further developed from page 17 onwards in the Evidence Paper.

CEP’s are identified as one of the actions to deliver an OxLEP priority under the key theme of ‘People’ in the Strategic Economic Plan 2016. In support of this approach, there is evidence within the full paper including an overview of the Oxfordshire economy, a summary of out of work benefit claimants, a summary of educational attainment in Oxfordshire and occupational classification breakdowns.

**Annex 1:**

**Community Employment Plans Evidence Paper**

**Contents:**

1.Background

2.Overview of Oxfordshire’s Economy

3.Proposed Sites

4.Out of Work Benefit Claimants

5.Educational Attainment

6.Occupation Classification

7.Skills Shortages

8.CEP Justification

Appendix 1: Constucting Leicester Informal Guidance Note

Appendix 2: Reading Supplementary Planning Document

Appendix 3: Construction Phase CEP Template

Appendix 4: Proposed Sites for CEP's

Appendix 5: The Oxfordshire Districts

1. **Background:**
   1. **Purpose of this Document**

This document sets out the evidence base for Community Employment Plans (CEP’s) and their inclusion within Local Plans in Oxfordshire. The Oxfordshire Skills Board initiated the original CEP concept on behalf of OxLEP with both organisations keen to maximise the opportunity for those most marginalised from the workforce.

CEP’s are employer-led initiatives which can form part of S106 planning obligations for significant developments. There are two key areas of employment, skills and training for which S106 planning obligations will be sought. The first is the construction phase for all large developments, the second at the end user phase of large commercial developments. The measures will seek to mitigate the impacts of development through ensuring that local people can better access job opportunities arising from development. CEP’s should relate where appropriate to outcomes flowing from both the construction phase and the end user phase and are likely to include:

* Apprenticeships;
* Employment / training initiatives for all ages, including both work tasters and work experience placements;
* Traineeships for younger people, including those who are not in employment, education or training (NEETS);
* Best endeavours to maximise local labour, including pre-employment training;
* Local procurement agreement – potential for local businesses to be included in tender lists;
* Support for local skills and training events – i.e. Careers fest, National Apprenticeship week;
* School, College and University engagement initiatives such as site visits, school visits and project support

Appendix 3 of this document contains a construction phase CEP template to illustrate the kinds of targets required.

* 1. **National Planning Policy Framework**

The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) sets out Government advice on planning policy and states that the main purpose of planning is to ‘achieve sustainable development.’ This comprises three main dimensions: economic; social; and environmental.

The economic role is defined as ‘contributing to building a strong, responsive and competitive economy, by ensuring that sufficient land of the right type is available in the right places and at the right time to support growth and innovation; and by identifying and coordinating development requirements, including the provision of infrastructure’. The role of land use and spatial planning is equally critical in economic development terms ensuring that new job opportunities both during construction and for the end users are maximised to deliver the greatest benefits for local communities.

The NPPF goes on to explain that pursuing sustainable development should include ‘seeking positive improvements’, which should take into account ‘making it easier for jobs to be created in cities, towns and villages.’ It further states that ‘plans and decisions need to take local circumstances into account, so that they respond to the different opportunities for achieving sustainable development in different areas’. The core principles in the NPPF are considered to ‘underpin both plan making and decision-taking’ and amongst these key principles is the need to ‘proactively drive and support economic development to deliver the homes, businesses and industrial units, infrastructure and thriving places that the country needs.’ The importance of providing jobs and training for the local community offers the opportunity to generate and share increased economic prosperity and contribute to the health and social well-being of the local workforce.

In delivering sustainable development therefore one of the principle aims set out in the NPPF is ‘to build a strong, competitive economy’. The Government states that it is committed to securing economic growth ‘in order to create jobs and prosperity.’ It goes on to say that ‘significant weight should be placed on the need to support economic growth through the planning system.’ CEP’s developed in partnership with developers, local authorities and skills providers can play an important role in achieving this vision and ensure that the proper alignment between jobs created and a skilled local labour force is secured to the benefit of all parties.

**1.3 Oxfordshire Strategic Economic Plan 2016**

OxLEP has concluded the refresh of its Strategic Economic Plan (SEP) to take account of the pace of change and new evidence that’s emerged over recent years. The SEP is focussed around its four priorities of ‘*People, Place, Enterprise and Connectivity*.’

Its vision is;

*By 2030, Oxfordshire will be recognised as a vibrant, sustainable, inclusive, world leading economy, driven by innovation, enterprise and research excellence.*

The SEP aims, with partners, to harness Oxfordshire’s unique combination of assets to ensure that by 2030, the county’s economy is recognised to be:

* **Vibrant:** a place where ambitious businesses and people thrive; and where young people choose to build their careers and their lives
* **Sustainable:** environmentally (taking into account patterns of resource use, climate change, carbon emissions, heritage assets), socially (reflecting the needs and character of communities) and economically (with businesses and others choosing to re-invest)
* **Inclusive:** where all residents and businesses have a real stake and voice in determining the county’s future economic narrative and contributing fully to it
* **World-leading:** recognised globally for its dynamic innovation ecosystem, founded on world class research and fuelled by enterprise, all within an environment of the highest quality.

The SEP identifies challenging issues with regard to social inclusion. Their scale is not great overall – but in many respects, that makes the challenges harder as the issues of exclusion can easily be overlooked against a background of general prosperity. There is a need for excellent and creative responses to help more disadvantaged Oxfordshire residents to move into the labour market.

‘People’ priorities to 2020:

In delivering the SEP, particular priority will be attached to:

Education and skills

* ensuring that skills provision is aligned more effectively with the needs of employers
* understanding – and responding to – the aspirations and frustrations of young people as they seek to build their lives and their careers in Oxfordshire, by creating a ‘skills continuum’ to support them through their learning journey
* increasing STEM skills among Oxfordshire’s young people
* increasing the number of apprenticeship opportunities

Reducing exclusion

* addressing exclusion from the labour market, by up-skilling and other measures to help young people and adults marginalised or disadvantaged from work
  1. **CEP’s in Oxfordshire:**

The work to implement CEP’s into planning policy has been in progress for some time; it has been considered at the Growth Board, a workshop with Oxfordshire planners, Oxfordshire Skills Board and the OxLEP Board. Overall support for the approach has been received at each stage. Most recently the concept was taken to the Growth Board Executive Officer’s Group and the Post-SHMA Group.

It is recommended that CEP’s are focused on the sites with 1,000 or more houses and/or 4,000 square metres (gross) of floor space. This narrows the focus to the largest planned sites where the impact will be lighter for developers but will be greatest for communities. There are currently 34 proposed sites in Oxfordshire where this suggested threshold might apply (this number doesn’t include the sites where CEP’s are already in place/under discussion), all at various stages, from allocated in the Local Plan to recommended for approval. Appendix 4 contains details on these proposed sites.

CEP’s are already in place at the Westgate Centre re-development in Oxford City, as well as in Cherwell at the NW Bicester Eco-Town site. Both are examples of good practice in Oxfordshire, which have been possible due to the engagement and commitment of developers, supported by appropriate planning policy. Both contain targets focusing on job opportunities for local residents, especially those furthest from the labour market, as well as training, including apprenticeships.

At a national level, the use of CEP’s, or Employment and Skills Plans, is relatively common. Reading has an SPD outlining obligations in relation to Employment and Skills Plans. Similarly, they are commonly used throughout the country, for example in Leicester and Portsmouth. In some of these areas there are more significant problems with unemployment than in Oxfordshire. However, Reading has a broadly comparable labour market and their SPD requires the development of an Employment and Skills Plan, funded by the developer through S106 contributions.

In these, and other areas, the requirement to complete a CEP as part of planning conditions or as a S106 obligation is well established, so there is clear precedent for this approach. In order to better illustrate this, Appendix 1 contains the wording taken from Leicester City

Council’sInformal Guidance Note on Employment and Skills Plans and Appendix 2 contains Reading’s SPD on Employment, Skills and Training.

**1.5 The Inclusive Economy Unit**

CEP’s can be a key tool in delivering the ‘economy that works for everybody’ narrative now permeating through government, and equally will support the recently announced Inclusive Economy Unit – particularly bullets one and two below. The inclusive economy unit will:

* Encourage better use of private investment and support markets that deliver social impact as well as financial returns;
* Improve delivery of public services: increasing social impact while bringing value for money in the commissioning of public services;
* Encourage responsible business, from social enterprise startups to companies that aim at ‘profit with purpose’.

**1.6 Skills Funding**

Within the current skills funding infrastructure colleges currently have a flexible pot to support our target client base. OxLEP is engaging them to ensure adequate funding is ring-fenced to support the delivery of CEP outputs as CEP’s are confirmed – however colleges are seeking clarification on the likely scale of opportunity, the number of CEP’s likely to be agreed and the number of clients supported. Embedding CEP’s in planning policy as sought will provide some clarity and enabling the ring-fencing of annual budgets to support their delivery.

Looking ahead as part of its devolution agenda government has announced that certain elements of skills budgets are in scope for devolution to a local level with confirmation that three previous strands of skills budgets will be aligned into a single place based Adult Education Budget (AEB). Whilst the current focus of devolution of AEB is on ‘wave 1’ devolution areas it’s possible that devolution of AEB may occur outside of formal devolution deals given the rapid decline of the Skills Funding Agency capacities and resources. As and when AEB is devolved locally partners will align CEP outcomes to AEB commissioning to ensure greater focus on those furthest from the labour market and thus develop an economy that works for all.

**1.7 The Work and Health Programme**

In parallel government is also changing its approach to support for those excluded from the workplace with a new integrated approach across both the work/worklessness and health agendas being commissioned through the Department for Work and Pensions.

The Work and Health Programme (WHP) aims to support those with health, disabilities and other complex issues towards and into sustainable employment. It is recognised that employment is a key driver in improving an individual’s physical, mental and overall wellbeing which has tangible benefits for a range of statutory services, mainly within the health and social sectors but that will also contribute to the labour supply for the growth agenda.

Key elements of WHP provision are:

* Greater integration with local services and health provision;
* Joint design/co-commissioning in areas with agreed devolution deals with Government;
* Specialise providers to have an important role to play in the programme.

The provider is expected to:


* Provide all participants with a more intensive, tailored support offer than can be provided by Job Centre Plus (JCP);
* Provide high quality support and experience, and utilise contacts enabling providers to offer unique support to claimants;
* Have strong links to national and local employers to identify employment needs, carve out roles and provide bespoke training to enable better matching of skills to roles;
* Bring a different and refreshed energy and approach for claimants who reach two years.

The Oxfordshire programme is currently being tendered with roll out likely from November 2017.

A consistent and embedded countywide approach to CEP’s can play a key part in delivering an inclusive economy and develop a range of opportunities, supported by AEB funding, developers and employers to bring those hardest to reach closer to our vibrant economy.

1. **Overview of Oxfordshire’s Economy:**

The infographic below illustrates the economic growth of the County since 2014:

****

In Oxfordshire both activity and employment rates are higher than the regional average and significantly higher than the national average. In the year to March 2016, some 375,500 residents aged 16-64 were in employment (employed or self-employed).

The rate of unemployment is very low; as at February 2017, some 2,825 people in Oxfordshire were claiming Job Seekers Allowance (JSA) or Universal Credit (UC). This means an unemployment rate of just 0.7%, compared to 1.9% for Great Britain. In practice, Oxfordshire is approaching full employment.

Whilst the high employment rate in the county is positive, this headline hides a number of issues. It means that those claiming benefits are more likely to have greater difficulties in accessing training and work, meaning additional support is needed to help them move closer to the labour market. Similarly, there are a significant number of ESA claimants who are a long way from the labour market. Of our c15, 000 ESA claimants approximately a third will

never work for a variety of reasons, including health and care responsibilities. The remaining 10,000 could potentially work with appropriate levels of (often intensive) support and training; CEP’s provide a mechanism to help bring this cohort closer to economic inclusion.

The tightness of our labour market brings challenges for businesses seeking to recruit staff from a small pool of local labour. In addition, house prices are a real concern which makes it harder to recruit to lower income roles and increases the amount of commuting from lower cost areas, thereby further adding to the strain of a transport network already operating at capacity. Over the last few years, Oxfordshire’s economy has performed strongly, and the scale of recent investment bodes well for future growth.

Between 2011 and 2014, the number of jobs in Oxfordshire grew by 7.8%, compared to growth of 6.2% nationally, far out-stripping even the most optimistic job creation estimates used in the SHMA which concludes that:

‘*the resultant level of employment growth of 88,000 jobs over the 2011-31 period in the Committed Economic Growth Scenario (equivalent to growth in employment of 4,400 pa) “would be an achievement, especially in the current economic environment, but is by no means unprecedented.” In comparative terms it would represent employment growth of 1.0% per annum (with annual growth rates varying from 0.8% pa in South Oxfordshire and West Oxfordshire to 1.5% pa in the Vale of White Horse). This compares to an average annual growth rate of 1.7% across Oxfordshire between 1981-2000; and to 1.2% pa in Cambridgeshire between 1990-2011’*

1. **Proposed sites:**

There are currently 34 proposed sites across Oxfordshire at various stages in the planning process. These proposed sites are all large enough to potentially support a CEP. Based on the estimates provided by the developers, the total number of potential jobs at each site is shown below:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **District** | **Total projected jobs (over approx. 10 years)** |
| Cherwell | 8376 |
| Oxford City | 24945 |
| South Oxfordshire | 7760 |
| Vale of White Horse | 4721 |
| West Oxfordshire | No estimates available |
| **Total** | **45802** |

It is worth noting that these estimates are not provided by the developers in every case, so the actual jobs created are likely to be higher[[1]](#footnote-1). They include jobs at both the construction and end-user (e.g. retail, restaurant, etc.) phase, where appropriate.

Further detail is included on each of these sites in Appendix 4.

1. **Out of work benefit claimants:**

The below table shows a breakdown of residents claiming out of work benefits, along with information on school leavers and young people. The table also shows total population estimates, migration in and out, and student numbers:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **All data is for Oxfordshire residents** | **Oxfordshire** | **Cherwell** | **Oxford City** | **South** | **Vale** | **West** |
| ESA[[2]](#footnote-2) | 8,160 | 2,000 | 2,560 | 1,210 | 1,300 | 1,100 |
| Claimant count combined (JSA+UC)[[3]](#footnote-3) | 2,710 | 545 | 960 | 400 | 425 | 380 |
| JSA[[4]](#footnote-4) | 1,815 | 325 | 645 | 280 | 290 | 285 |
| UC[[5]](#footnote-5) | 895 | 220 | 315 | 120 | 135 | 95 |
| Main out of work benefits[[6]](#footnote-6) | 19,620 | 4,600 | 6,050 | 3,080 | 3,160 | 2,730 |
| **Totals** | **12,580** | **2,820** | **4,300** | **1,820** | **1,980** | **1,650** |
| School leavers p.a.[[7]](#footnote-7) | 6691 | 1534 | 1152 | 1326 | 1161 | 1103 |
| NEET[[8]](#footnote-8) | 619 | 198 | 174 | 91 | 79 | 77 |
| Young people who are not known[[9]](#footnote-9) | 739 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| **Totals:** | **8049** | **1732** | **1326** | **1417** | **1240** | **1180** |
| Population at Census[[10]](#footnote-10) | 653,798 | 141,868 | 151,906 | 134,257 | 120,988 | 104,779 |
| Population estimates[[11]](#footnote-11) | 677,800 | 145,600 | 159,600 | 137,400 | 126,700 | 108,600 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Migration in[[12]](#footnote-12) (this is people who were not living in the area at 27/3/10 but were at 27/3/11) | 52,376 | 7,817 | 21,791 | 8,188 | 8,595 | 5,985 |
| Migration out[[13]](#footnote-13) (this is people who were living in the area at 27/3/10 but were not at 27/3/11 - however this does not include those that migrated overseas) | 40,736 | 7,037 | 13,262 | 7,661 | 7,563 | 5,213 |
| Numbers of students (aged 16-64)[[14]](#footnote-14) | 25,200 | 6,300 | 9,900 | 2,900 | 4,600 | 1,500 |

Oxfordshire is generally prosperous; it is the 11th least deprived of 152 upper-tier local authorities in England. When compared with the other upper tier local authorities in England, Oxfordshire is less deprived than average across six of the seven domains of deprivation including:

* Employment Deprivation – Oxfordshire is the 9th least deprived upper tier local authority in England out of 152
* Income Deprivation – Oxfordshire is the 10th least deprived
* Education, Skills and Training – Oxfordshire is the 34th least deprived[[15]](#footnote-15)

However, there are pockets of deprivation, with fifteen small areas ranking in the 20% most deprived nationally. In these areas, particular aspects of deprivation include; income deprivation; employment deprivation; and education, skills and training deprivation.

1. **Educational Attainment:**

Educational Attainment (Jan 2015 – Dec 2015):

This table shows that overall Oxfordshire residents have high levels of educational attainment; however, there remain districts in which there are significant numbers with no qualifications at all. CEP’s provide opportunities for people to access skills and training, thereby increasing their employability. Increasing skills levels is good for individuals, helping those currently marginalised from the workforce to move closer to the labour market and it is good for the economic prosperity of the county as it helps provide employers with the skilled workforce they need.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Variable[[16]](#footnote-16)** | **Cherwell** | | **Oxford** | | **South Oxfordshire** | | **Vale of White Horse** | | **West Oxfordshire** | |
|  | **number** | **percent** | **number** | **percent** | **number** | **percent** | **number** | **percent** | **number** | **percent** |
| % with NVQ4+ - aged 16-64 | 31,900 | 36.0 | 72,500 | 63.4 | 47,300 | 57.4 | 35,900 | 47.7 | 33,000 | 50.1 |
| % with NVQ3+ - aged 16-64 | 48,800 | 55.1 | 87,400 | 76.5 | 58,400 | 70.7 | 49,500 | 65.7 | 43,000 | 65.3 |
| % with NVQ2+ - aged 16-64 | 64,400 | 72.6 | 98,500 | 86.1 | 70,100 | 85.0 | 60,300 | 80.1 | 51,400 | 78.2 |
| % with NVQ1+ - aged 16-64 | 76,600 | 86.4 | 103,200 | 90.2 | 78,000 | 94.5 | 68,800 | 91.4 | 56,100 | 85.3 |
| % with other qualifications (NVQ) - aged 16-64 | 6,300 | 7.1 | 5,900 | 5.1 | 1,800 | 2.2 | 2,900 | 3.9 | 3,400 | 5.1 |
| % with no qualifications (NVQ) - aged 16-64 | 5,700 | 6.5 | 5,300 | 4.6 | 2,800 | 3.3 | 3,600 | 4.7 | 6,300 | 9.6 |

1. **Occupation Classification (2014):**

This occupation classification table shows the percentage of workers in each of the main industry categories broken down by district. Highlighted are those industry areas in which there is over 10% of the population employed. This shows that the key sectors in Oxfordshire are:

* Manufacturing
* Retail
* Professional, scientific and technical
* Education
* Health

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Industry[[17]](#footnote-17)** | Cherwell | Oxford | South Oxfordshire | Vale of White Horse | West Oxfordshire |
|  | **%** | **%** | **%** | **%** | **%** |
| 1 : Agriculture, forestry & fishing (A) | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2 : Mining, quarrying & utilities (B,D and E) | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 1.8 | 1.0 |
| 3 : Manufacturing (C) | 11.7 | 3.6 | 5.9 | 5.6 | 12.7 |
| 4 : Construction (F) | 4.4 | 5.4 | 5.0 | 5.8 | 5.4 |
| 5 : Motor trades (Part G) | 4.0 | 0.8 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 2.6 |
| 6 : Wholesale (Part G) | 7.0 | 0.9 | 4.7 | 5.1 | 3.7 |
| 7 : Retail (Part G) | 12.3 | 8.3 | 9.6 | 7.2 | 10.3 |
| 8 : Transport & storage (inc postal) (H) | 4.0 | 2.3 | 3.5 | 3.8 | 4.2 |
| 9 : Accommodation & food services (I) | 6.4 | 6.8 | 8.9 | 6.5 | 8.7 |
| 10 : Information & communication (J) | 3.2 | 5.5 | 4.5 | 6.8 | 3.8 |
| 11 : Financial & insurance (K) | 2.0 | 0.8 | 2.1 | 1.5 | 1.7 |
| 12 : Property (L) | 1.5 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 2.3 |
| 13 : Professional, scientific & technical (M) | 7.9 | 8.7 | 20.7 | 17.4 | 8.6 |
| 14 : Business administration & support services (N) | 8.8 | 5.1 | 7.9 | 8.7 | 6.1 |
| 15 : Public administration & defence (O) | 5.6 | 2.9 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 2.4 |
| 16 : Education (P) | 6.3 | 27.6 | 8.6 | 10.8 | 9.5 |
| 17 : Health (Q) | 10.0 | 17.3 | 7.4 | 9.4 | 9.2 |
| 18 : Arts, entertainment, recreation & other services (R,S,T and U) | 3.8 | 2.7 | 4.7 | 4.2 | 7.9 |

The 34 proposed strategic sites referenced in this document all require construction workers. For those that will have end-use CEP’s, the roles will mainly be in logistics, retail, hospitality and leisure. The detailed information on job breakdown is contained within Appendix 4.

1. **Skills Shortages:**

Retail, hospitality and logistics are areas in which there are already identified potential future labour and skills shortages in Oxfordshire. Nationally, the construction sector is experiencing a skills shortage, with the CITB reporting that all 28 occupational categories defined in the Construction Skills Network have seen growth in 2015. Some, for example, bricklayers, have experienced very fast expansion, leading to difficulties recruiting skilled staff. The same CITB report quotes the Federation of Master Builders as stating the recruitment of bricklayers, carpenters and joiners has become more acute over the past year, with around 60% of respondents reporting difficulties recruiting the former and around 55% in recruiting the latter in the third quarter of 2015 compared with the same period in 2014[[18]](#footnote-18). The CITB states that this situation is unlikely to ease during 2016 as they predicted an increase of nearly 80,000 in the construction workforce given anticipated demand.[[19]](#footnote-19)

**Construction:**

According to Labour Market Information, there are currently 17,700 people employed in construction jobs in Oxfordshire, 5.2% of the working age population. Construction continues to be a male dominated sector and about half of construction workers in Oxfordshire are involved in the construction of domestic buildings, rather than commercial or infrastructure construction.

Of all occupation types, Oxfordshire employers find it hardest to recruit to the skilled trades, with a fifth of employers with vacancies saying this is a problem due to skills shortages[[20]](#footnote-20).

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Vacancies, May – July 2016 | No. of postings |
| Electrician and electrical fitters | 90 |
| Plumbers and heating and ventilation engineers | 87 |
| Carpenters and joiners | 47 |
| Elementary process plant occupations | 12 |
| Construction and building trades | 10 |
| Plant and machine operatives | 6 |
| Bricklayers and masons | 2 |

**Retail:**

There are 32,000 employees in retail in Oxfordshire and just under half are working at retail assistant level. The sector has a low-qualified workforce (usually no formal qualifications are required) and has long relied on young people to fill roles. The reputation of the sector is of ‘stop gap’ employment rather than a long-term career. In Oxfordshire, the retail sector employs the largest number of part time workers; 57% of workers are part time[[21]](#footnote-21).

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Vacancies, May-July 2016 | No. of postings |
| Managers and Directors in retail and wholesale | 180 |
| Business Sales Executives | 112 |
| Sales Supervisors | 94 |
| Sales related occupations | 51 |
| Sales and retail assistants | 36 |
| Customer service occupations | 20 |
| Sales accounts and business development managers | 14 |

**Logistics:**

In Oxfordshire, there are 11,500 people working in the Logistics industries, making up 3.3% of the Oxfordshire workforce. This can be broken down further as:

* 42.6% in land transport and transport via pipelines
* 0.9% in water transport
* 41.7% in warehousing and support activities for transportation
* 14.8% in postal and courier activities

Most of the sub sectors in Oxfordshire have seen growth in their number of employees with the warehousing sub sector nearly doubling since 2010 but postal and courier service staff and down by a third.

To date in 2016 (to end of Sept) there are 4,600 job vacancies in supply chain, logistics, transport and distribution. The top 5 jobs being advertised are[[22]](#footnote-22):

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Occupation** | **Job Postings** |
| Large goods vehicle drivers (8211) | 718 |
| Buyers and procurement officers (3541) | 408 |
| Elementary construction occupations (9120) | 377 |
| Van drivers (8212) | 339 |
| Plant and machine operatives n.e.c. (8129) | 336 |

1. **CEP justification**

The National Planning Policy Framework (CLG, 2012) states that planning obligations should only be sought where they meet all of the following tests:

1. Necessary
2. Relevant to planning
3. Relevant to the development being permitted
4. Enforceable
5. Precise
6. Reasonable in all other respects

**Necessary:**

The NPPF guidance on meeting the six tests requires that a condition must not be imposed unless there is a definite planning reason for it. Local planning policies are clear that employment generating development should provide mitigation measures in line with its impacts on the demands for housing, labour, skills and on the transport network. A CEP is therefore necessary to make the development acceptable in planning terms.

According to the NPPF the main purpose of planning is to ‘achieve sustainable development’ via economic, social and environmental means. The economic role is defined as ‘contributing to building a strong, responsive and competitive economy, by ensuring that sufficient land of the right type is available in the right places and at the right time to support growth and innovation; and by identifying and coordinating development requirements, including the provision of infrastructure’. The role of land use and spatial planning is equally critical in economic development terms ensuring that new job opportunities during construction and the end use are maximised to deliver the greatest benefit to communities.

This should include ‘seeking positive improvements’ which take into account ‘making it easier for jobs to be created in cities, towns and villages’. It further states that ‘plans and decisions need to take local circumstances into account, so they respond to the different opportunities for achieving sustainable development in different areas’. The core principles in the NPPF are considered to ‘underpin both plan making and decision taking’ and amongst these key principles is the need to ‘proactively drive and support economic development to deliver the homes, businesses and industrial units, infrastructure and thriving places that the country needs’. The importance of providing jobs and training for the local community offers the opportunity to generate and share increased economic prosperity and contribute to the health and social well-being of the local workforce.

In delivering sustainable development therefore one of the principle aims set out in the NPPF is to ‘build a strong, competitive economy’. The Government states that it is committed to securing economic growth ‘in order to create jobs and prosperity’. It goes on to say that ‘significant weight should be placed on the need to support economic growth through the planning system’. CEP’s developed in partnership with developers, local authorities and skills providers can play an important role in achieving this vision and ensure that the proper alignment between jobs created and a skilled local labour force is secured to the benefit of all parties.

**Relevant to planning:**

A condition for a CEP is related to planning objectives, as outlined above. It directly relates to the aim of achieving sustainable development. It is well within the scope of the permission to which it is attached and is not being used to control matters that are subject to specific control elsewhere in planning legislation. Developers often identify projected employment outcomes as part of the justification for development. It is therefore important that the impacts of development are mitigated and the economic benefits of new development in terms of improved local skills and employment outcomes are realised.

It is possible that local community support for significant development projects may be affected if job opportunities are not being created for residents, in particular those with previous skills and experience in construction. Local residents provide a source of labour and provision should be made for upskilling some of those unskilled workers to meet increasing demand for skilled labour within the construction industry.

Nationally the construction industry is experiencing a skills shortage, with the CITB reporting that all 28 occupational categories defined in the Construction Skills Network have seen growth in 2015. The report states that this situation is unlikely to ease during 2016 as they predict an increase of nearly 80,000 in the construction workforce given anticipated demand[[23]](#footnote-23).

Locally, according to Labour Market Information, there are currently 17,700 people employed in construction jobs in Oxfordshire: 5.2% of the working age population. Of all occupation types, Oxfordshire employers find it hardest to recruit to the skilled trades, with a fifth of employers with vacancies saying this is a problem due to skills shortages[[24]](#footnote-24).

In this situation, wages are likely to rise and finding suitable labour will become more difficult and expensive. The ability to source labour at realistic rates is critical to project viability and deliverability. CEP’s can help to ensure that such labour is available for the duration of the construction period.

**Relevant to the development being permitted:**

A CEP condition is fairly and reasonably related to the development given that the development is of a significant size. As outlined above, it is related to planning objectives, and in addition, is also justified by the nature and impact of the development.

The definition of major development is 10 or more residential units or more than 1,000 square metres of floor space, but in Oxfordshire we are only requiring CEP’s where development is over a threshold of either 1,000 houses and/or 4,000 square metres of floor space. The requirement is targeted at these largest developments as it is most relevant to them.

In Oxfordshire both activity and employment rates are higher than the regional average and significantly higher than the national average. In the year to March 2016, some 358,000 residents aged 16-64 were in employment (employed or self-employed).

The rate of unemployment is very low; as at February 2017, some 2,825 people in Oxfordshire were claiming Job Seekers Allowance (JSA) or Universal Credit (UC). This means an unemployment rate of just 0.7%, compared to 1.9% for Great Britain. In practice, Oxfordshire is approaching full employment.

The tightness of our labour market brings challenges for businesses seeking to recruit staff from a small pool of local labour. In addition, house prices are a real concern which makes it harder to recruit to lower income roles and increases the amount of commuting from lower cost areas, thereby further adding to the strain of a transport network already operating at capacity.

Deliverability and viability are clearly relevant; labour costs will be a significant element within the viability assessment. A CEP helps to protect the developer from future labour skills shortages and subsequent increased labour costs. CEP conditions are only being sought on large developments.

**Enforceable:**

It is practically possible to enforce a condition requiring a CEP, it will be evident whether a plan has been produced or not. As per the NPPF guidance on meeting the six tests, it will be possible to detect a contravention and to remedy any breach of the condition.

In other CEP’s, the targets and outputs are formulated in partnership with developers and then monitored through a steering group. The quality of the plan developed can be ensured by drawing on best practice both locally and nationally from CEP’s already in place.

**Precise:**

The text of the condition should be clear to the applicant and others what must be done to comply with it. The text requesting a condition in responses to planning applications states:

*The developers will be required to prepare and implement, with local authorities and skills providers, a Community Employment Plan (CEP) that will seek to mitigate the impacts of development through ensuring that local people can better access the training (including apprenticeships) and job opportunities arising from the development. CEP’s should relate to outcomes from both the construction and the end user phase, where appropriate.*

This text is comparable to that used in other areas where CEP’s are part of planning policy and are regularly attached as conditions to planning applications.

Using a standard approach to the CEP format will ensure it is precise in terms of content and objectives.

**Reasonable in all other respects:**

A condition requesting a CEP is reasonable; in no way does it place unjustifiable and disproportionate burdens on the applicant.

Developing local labour and upskilling the workforce is supported by the Construction Industry Training Board and other trade organisations in the construction sector. The CITB state “there is no reason why a planning condition containing requirements with respect to employment and skills cannot meet these tests; indeed it is common to see a planning condition containing these requirements”[[25]](#footnote-25)

It is also supported by the CBI and Chambers of Commerce and LEP’s across the country. At a national level, the use of CEP’s or Employment and Skills Plans is relatively common. Reading has an SPD outlining obligations in relation to Employment and Skills Plans. Similarly, they are also used in Leicester and Portsmouth. In these and other areas, the requirement to complete a CEP as part of planning conditions is well established, so there is clear precedent for this approach.

In addition, the Considerate Constructors Scheme includes within its Code of Considerate Practice, ‘Respect for the Community’, the requirement of ‘contributing to and supporting the local community and economy’.

**Appendix 1: Constructing Leicester: Informal Guidance Note on Employment and Skills Plans**

A: Sample wording for a Condition attached to a planning permission:

Condition:

The development shall not commence until an Employment and Skills Training Plan that is tailored to the development has been submitted to and approved by the city council as Local Planning Authority (unless otherwise agreed in writing by the council). The development shall be carried out in accordance with that plan.

(In the interests of delivering local employment and skills training opportunities in accordance with Core Strategy Policy 10)

Note to applicant

The Employment and Skills Plan required by the condition above should be agreed as soon as possible before any development contracts are prepared and should be in accordance with the guidance in the Leicester City Council document Employment and Skills Plans Informal Guidance Note, May 2015

B: Sample wording for a S106 agreement attached to a planning permission:

1.1 Prior to commencement of development to submit to the council for approval the Employment and Skills plan.

1.2 The Employment and Skills plan submitted pursuant to clause 1.1 above shall include arrangements setting out how the owner will and to the extent they are not the same person how any developer or occupier and their contractors will be required to work directly with local employment/training agencies including but not limited to:

1.2.1 Voluntary and private sectors providers

1.2.2 Sixth form colleges, colleges of further education and universities

1.2.3 Job Centre Plus

1.3 Development shall not be commenced, until written approval of the Employment and Skills Training Plan has been received from the council (unless otherwise agreed in writing by the council).

1.4 Following written approval of the employment and skills plan by the council, the owner will implement and where necessary procure implementation and promote the objectives of the approved employment and skills plan and ensure that so far as reasonable the objectives are met.[[26]](#footnote-26)

**Appendix 2: Reading Supplementary Planning Document** (double click to open the PDF)



**Appendix 3: Construction Phase Community Employment Plan Template**

A Community Employment Plan has been developed by (the developer) with support from….

The plan below sets out the construction phase Community Employment Plan and outcomes required as detailed by condition x of the development site outline planning permission (reference x)

Outputs from the plan will be measured and monitored as part of an on-going dialogue from monthly meetings with a variety of key external and internal stakeholders. All progress will be shared regularly with the Local Planning Authority. Stakeholders participating in the Community Employment Plan include:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Action | Activity | Timescale | Target/outputs | Stretch target | Responsible person | Definition |
| **Local labour, supply chain & procurement** | Local employment and training clauses including use of local apprenticeships and traineeships built into procurement contracts |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximise local procurement through ensuring main and sub-contractors source locally where practical |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hold a minimum of x “meet the buyer” events aimed at supporting local supply chain opportunities. |  |  |  |  | Meet the Buyer’ events are run by the construction partner to explain the project, the opportunities and the procurement process to local suppliers |
| Supporting employment for young people |  |  |  |  | Young people aged between 18-25 when they start on site |
| Supporting local employment |  |  |  |  | Oxfordshire postcodes: <http://www.postcodearea.co.uk/postaltowns/oxford/> |
| Supporting Social Enterprises in supply chain |  |  |  |  | Social Enterprise trade to tackle social problems, improve communities, people’s life chances, or the environment |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Engaging with Education** | Support Oxfordshire careers events and National Apprenticeship Week (NAW) annually during the course of the development |  |  |  |  | Careers event; a not for profit event that supports education and employment outcomes for young people |
| Work with and support schools county wide to promote and introduce pupil and those that advise pupils of the range of skills and careers available in the construction industry |  |  |  |  |  |
| Provide site tours to schools, colleges, universities and those organisations up skilling individuals |  |  |  |  |  |
| Support University graduate research projects |  |  |  |  |  |
| Develop a series of creative interventions that advise parents of the range of skills and careers available in the construction industry |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Youth & apprenticeships** | Support apprenticeships by:   * embedding use of local apprentices in supply chain procurement * ensuring the construction phase supports x apprenticeships during construction |  |  |  |  |  |
| Support training and work experience for young people by:   * Providing a strategy that delivers –employment training and work experience/placements places for young people, matched to their areas of interest and aspirations, where they engage in purposeful work related learning activities rather than observation. * Embedding these opportunities in supply chain |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Disadvantaged & Communities** | * Support a series of sector based work academies for those aged 18 or above (8 participants at each) over the life of the development aimed at creating opportunities for those most marginalised from the workplace |  |  |  |  | A sector-based work academy can last up to six weeks and has three key components:   * Pre-employment training relevant to the needs of your business and sector. * Up to one week work experience placement – of great benefit to both the individual and a business * A guaranteed job interview   <https://www.gov.uk/government/up-loads/system/up-loads/attacchment_data/file-144199/sbwa-employer-guide.pdf> |
|  | Supporting local charities |  |  |  |  | Local charity means a charity with an Oxfordshire registered office address and whose beneficiaries are primarily Oxfordshire residents |
|  | Promoting local volunteering |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Partnership support** | Developer to:   * nominate a single point of contact for Community Employment Plan construction phase, activity and monitoring * ensure all reasonable monitoring requests are actioned in a timely manner |  |  |  |  |  |

**Appendix 4: Proposed sites for CEP’s**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Site Name** | **Site Details** | **Developer Job Estimates** | | | **HBA Estimates[[27]](#footnote-27)** |
|  |  | **Overall job estimates** | **Construction** | **End use** |  |
| **Cherwell** | | | | | |
| Symmetry Park | * 20,067 SQM B8 with ancillary B1 * Outline app for 44,965 SQM B8 | 1000+ | No estimate available | 1000 |  |
| Wretchwick Green | * 1,500 houses * 18ha B1/B8 * local centre with retail * primary school | 1688+ | No estimate available | * 1688 in B1/B8 * Retail * Primary school | * 2250 direct construction * 13500 in supply chain |
| Land at Southern Bomb Stores, Upper Heyford | * 2,650 m2 B1 * 10,550m2 B1 b/c * 9,900m2 B8 | No estimate available | No estimate available | No estimate available |  |
| Bicester Gateway Kingsmere Retail | * Four class A1 units * One class A3 unit * A class D2 unit | 300 end use | No estimate available | * 300 in A1, A3 and D2 |  |
| Bicester Gateway Business Park | * 14,972 m2 B1 * 149 room hotel | 550 end use | No estimate available | * 500 in B1 * 50 hotel |  |
| Spiceball Development Area | * Retail, restaurants, hotel, cinema | 753 | 37 | 716 |  |
| South of Salt Way East | * 1,000 houses * 1000m2 A1 * Financial services * Restaurants/pub and takeaways * primary school | 970+ | 97-110 p/a over 10 years | No estimate available | * 1500 direct construction * 900 in supply chain |
| Land between New Banbury Daventry Rd and M40 | * Industrial unit (B8) * 2 office buildings (B1) * 80 bed hotel * Motorway services area amenity building | 1615 | * 235 construction jobs p/a over 2 years | * 1,145 FTE |  |
| Former RAF Upper Heyford | * 1,000 houses * 12ha employment land | 1500 | No estimate available | 1500 B1/B2/B8 | * 1500 direct construction * 900 in supply chain |
| Begbroke | * Employment led mixed use | No estimate available | No estimate available | No estimate available |  |
| **City of Oxford** | | | | | |
| Northern Gateway | * 500 houses * 90,000m2 class B employment land * 4000m2 supermarket * Smaller retail units (2500m2) * Hotel | 7500 | 3200 | 4300 | * 750 direct construction * 450 in supply chain |
| Oxpens | * 300 houses * 8,200 sq. m. of B1 office space * 4,400 sq. m. allocated for Research & Development * 155 bedroom hotel * Shops and restaurants | 1000 | 300 | 700 | * 450 direct construction * 270 supply chain |
| Gateway Station | * Mixed use and redevelopment of station | 1535 | 1,000 | 535 |  |
| Osney Mead | * Student accommodation * Employment | 14,700 | 8,000 | 6,700 |  |
| Templars Square | * Residential * Hotel * Retail | 210 | 153 | 57 |  |
| **South Oxfordshire** | | | | | |
| Chalgrove Airfield | * 3,500 houses * 10ha employment land | No estimate available | No estimate available | No estimate available | * 5250 direct construction * 3150 in supply chain |
| Didcot North East | * 1,880 houses, * 2 new primary schools * 1 secondary school * 1500 m2 class A1 * Public house/restaurant * C1 hotel * Extra care housing | 2000+ | 200 jobs p/a over 10 years | No estimate available | * 2820 direct construction * 1692 in supply chain |
| Adjacent to Culham Science Cenre | * Redevelopment and intensification of the Science Centre * Redevelopment of No1 site for mixed use, including 500 houses | c.1000 construction | c.1000 | No estimate available | * 750 direct construction * 450 in supply chain |
| Didcot A site (south and Vale site but included in South) | * 400 houses * 110,000 m2 of B2/B8 * 25,000 m2 of B1 * 13, 000 m2 of A1 * 150 bed hotel * 500m2 of A3/A4 pub/restuarant | 4760 | 600 | 4160 retail, hospitality, warehousing and office | * 600 direct construction * 360 in supply chain |
| Berinsfield Regeneration (public consultation) | * Up to 2,100 houses | No estimate available | No estimate available | No estimate available | * 3150 direct construction * 1890 in supply chain |
| Oxford Science Village (Land to the South of Grenoble Road) | * Up to 3,500 houses | No estimate available | No estimate available | No estimate available | * 5250 direct construction * 3150 in supply chain |
| Land at J7 of M40 (Harrington) | * 6,500 houses | No estimate available | No estimate available | No estimate available | * 9750 direct construction * 5850 in supply chain |
| **Vale of White Horse** | | | | | |
| Valley Park, Didcot | * 4254 houses, local centre * 2 primary schools * Special needs school | No estimate available | No estimate available | No estimate available | * 6381 direct construction * 3828 in supply chain |
| Crab Hill, Wantage | * 1500 homes * Primary school * Employment space (B1) | 1689 | 137 FTE p/a for 12 years | 45 retail + public house/restaurant | * 2250 direct construction * 1350 in supply chain |
| Grove Air Field | * 2,500 houses * Secondary school * Primary schools * Local centre | 1990 | 199 p/a over 10 years | N/A | * 3750 direct construction * 2250 in supply chain |
| Land North of Dunmore Road and Twelve Acre Drive, Abingdon | * 900 houses * 50 retirement homes * Primary school * Community hub * Care home * Children’s nursery * Public house/restaurant | 1042 | 93 p/a over 10 years | 109 | * 1350 direct construction * 810 in supply chain |
| Dalton Barracks | * At least 1000 houses | No estimate available | No estimate available | No estimate available | * 1500 direct construction * 900 in supply chain |
| Harwell Campus | * 1000 houses | No estimate available | No estimate available | No estimate available | * 1500 direct construction * 900 in supply chain |
| **West Oxfordshire** | | | | | |
| East of Chipping Norton | * 1400 houses and 9ha employment land | No estimate available | No estimate available | No estimate available | * 2100 direct construction * 1260 in supply chain |
| North Witney | * 1,400 houses | No estimate available | No estimate available | No estimate available | * 2100 direct construction * 1260 in supply chain |
| West Witney (north Curbridge) | * 1,000 houses and 10ha employment land | No estimate available | No estimate available | No estimate available | * 1500 direct construction * 900 in supply chain |
| West of Eynsham | * 1000 houses | No estimate available | No estimate available | No estimate available | * 1500 direct construction * 900 in supply chain |
| West Oxfordshire Garden Village | * 2,200 houses and 40ha employment land | No estimate available | No estimate available | No estimate available | * 3300 direct construction * 1980 in supply chain |
| Barnard Gate Garden Village | * Up to 3,000 houses | No estimate available | No estimate available | No estimate available | * 4500 direct construction * 2700 in supply chain |

**Appendix 5: The Oxfordshire Districts**

Oxfordshire is made up of five separate districts, each of which has its own district council and its own distinctive economy.

Oxford city is the county’s functional centre and most of the county is within an Oxford Travel to Work Area (as defined at the time of the 2011 Census). However, in the north of the county, Banbury has a TTWA of its own extending in to Northamptonshire, and in the south parts of Oxfordshire are within the Reading TTWA.

As reflected in the emerging Local Plans, the main locations for housing and employment growth will be within the Knowledge Spine, from Bicester in the north through Oxford to Science Vale in the south. As such, these will be the areas with the greatest potential sites for CEP’s.

That isn’t to say that housing and employment sites will be confined to these areas, as such opportunities for CEP’s will exist across the county.

In this context, it is worth noting the potential growth identified in the ‘Economic Forecasting to inform the Oxfordshire Strategic Economic Plan and Strategic Housing Market Assessment’. This draws out areas where employment growth based on past trends may be underestimated. Planned investments and new policies make it likely that there will be significant job growth in the following areas:

* Cherwell: in particular in Bicester there is the potential for growth in bioscience, advanced engineering and warehousing and distribution.
* Oxford City: there is likely to be significant growth in employment in education (related to the University), bioscience and healthcare and retail.
* Vale of White Horse and South Oxfordshire: at Science Vale there is the potential for growth in the specialist technology areas, including space science and satellite communications, the physical sciences and biomedical.
* West Oxfordshire: there is potential for growth in existing firms in engineering, motorsport and food production[[28]](#footnote-28).

These give an indication of the growth industries in each district, as well as highlighting the scale of potential job growth in Oxfordshire.

A short summary of district-specific information is contained below. More detailed information on each district’s economy is available via the emerging Local Plans.

**Cherwell:**

Cherwell has a diverse economy but often with ‘lower value’ activity similar to the South Midlands. [[29]](#footnote-29). The population of 141,868 is projected to grow by a cumulative 15.6% by 2026; significantly higher than regional and national rates.[[30]](#footnote-30)

Levels of employment are high, however, wages paid in Cherwell lag behind those of the South East region[[31]](#footnote-31) and only 36% of the population is educated to NVQ L4; lower than the rest of the county.

Retail provides the largest employment in the Cherwell district, with 12.3% of the workforce employed in this sector. It is closely followed by manufacturing at 11.7% and then Health at 10%.

There are pockets of deprivation in the district, with parts of Grimsbury and Castle and Ruscote in Banbury within the 20% most deprived nationally.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Population at Census | 141,868 |
| ESA | 2,000 |
| Claimant count combined (JSA + UC) | 545 |
| JSA | 325 |
| UC | 220 |
| Main out of work benefits | 4,600 |

**City of Oxford:**

Oxford City has a thriving economy, ranked 7th in its workforce’s contribution to the national economy when compare to the other 54 cities in England for Gross Value Added. Its population of 151,906 is made up of a high proportion of young people and students.

The level of unemployment in the City is low, and the workforce is highly qualified with 60% of the working age population qualified to NVQ Level 4 and above.

The public sector dominates employment in Oxford, in particular education and health with 27.6% and 17.3% respectively working in these sectors.

As with Oxfordshire as whole, levels of economic activity are high and the unemployment rate is low. However, there are pockets of deprivation in Oxford City, including parts of Rosehill and Iffley, Northfield Brook, Barton and Sandhills and Blackbird Leys which are amongst the 20% most deprived nationally.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Population at Census | 151,906 |
| ESA | 2,560 |
| Claimant count combined (JSA + UC) | 960 |
| JSA | 645 |
| UC | 315 |
| Main out of work benefits | 6,050 |

**South Oxfordshire:**

South Oxfordshire has a population of 134,257. Levels of unemployment are low and the population is highly qualified, with 57.4% of the population education to NVQ L4 or above.

Employment is predominantly in Professional, Scientific and Technical at 20.7% followed by retail at 9.6%. There is a higher level of employment in the Professional, Scientific and Technical category than is the case either regionally or nationally[[32]](#footnote-32), but this is to be expected as Science Vale runs across this district and the Vale of White Horse,

Some parts of the District, Berinsfield and parts of Didcot, have a relatively high score on the multiple indices of deprivation.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Population at Census | 134,257 |
| ESA | 1,210 |
| Claimant count combined (JSA + UC) | 400 |
| JSA | 280 |
| UC | 120 |
| Main out of work benefits | 3,080 |

**Vale of White Horse:**

The Vale of White Horse has a population of 120,988 and strong knowledge-based economy. Levels of unemployment are low and 47.7% of the workforce is qualified to NVQ L4 or above.

Employment is predominantly in the Professional, Scientific and Technical category, followed by Education, at 17.4% and 10.8% respectively. As with South Oxfordshire, these high levels are due to the Science Vale area.

There are relatively low levels of deprivation across the District; however, some parts of Abingdon Caldecott fall within the 20% most deprived nationally.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Population at Census | 120,988 |
| ESA | 1,300 |
| Claimant count combined (JSA + UC) | 425 |
| JSA | 290 |
| UC | 135 |
| Main out of work benefits | 3,160 |

**West Oxfordshire:**

West Oxfordshire has a population of 104,779, lower than the other districts and a reflection of its rural nature. As with the rest of Oxfordshire, unemployment levels are low and 50.1% of the workforce is qualified to NVQ L4 or above.

12.7% of the workforce is employed in manufacturing, closely followed by 10.3% in retail.

There are low levels of deprivation across the District; however, the ‘dispersed’ nature of the district means that some residents are deprived in terms of access to housing and services. [[33]](#footnote-33)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Population at Census | 104,779 |
| ESA | 1,100 |
| Claimant count combined (JSA + UC) | 380 |
| JSA | 285 |
| UC | 95 |
| Main out of work benefits | 2,730 |

1. The estimated job figures are taken from planning applications, in which many developers state the potential number of roles likely at the construction and end user phase. In cases where there is no estimate available from the developers no estimate of job numbers is included in these figures. Appendix 4 shows a detailed breakdown of this information per site. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. Incapacity benefit or ESA only, Source: DWP benefit claimants - working age client group, August 2016 [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
3. Claimant count refers to the number of people claiming for the reason of unemployment (JSA and UC claims) Source: DWP benefit claimants - working age client group, August 2016 current [↑](#footnote-ref-3)
4. JSA only, Source: DWP benefit claimants - working age client group, August 2016 [↑](#footnote-ref-4)
5. UC only, Source: DWP benefit claimants - working age client group, August 2016 [↑](#footnote-ref-5)
6. Out of work benefits – these include JSA/UC/ESA plus incapacity benefits, lone parents and other income related benefits Source: DWP benefit claimants - working age client group, August 2016 [↑](#footnote-ref-6)
7. EFA local residents sixth form 2015/16 [↑](#footnote-ref-7)
8. CEF team, OCC [↑](#footnote-ref-8)
9. CEF team, OCC [↑](#footnote-ref-9)
10. Census 2011 [↑](#footnote-ref-10)
11. ONS population estimates [↑](#footnote-ref-11)
12. Census 2011 [↑](#footnote-ref-12)
13. Census 2011 [↑](#footnote-ref-13)
14. ONS Annual Population Survey [↑](#footnote-ref-14)
15. <http://insight.oxfordshire.gov.uk/cms/index-multiple-deprivation-dashboard>, accessed 24th October 2016 [↑](#footnote-ref-15)
16. Nomis on 15 August 2016 [↑](#footnote-ref-16)
17. Nomis on 15 August 2016 [↑](#footnote-ref-17)
18. CITB, Construction Skills Network Report, <http://www.citb.co.uk/research/construction-skills-network/uk/> , p. 7 [↑](#footnote-ref-18)
19. Ibid, p. 8 [↑](#footnote-ref-19)
20. Oxfordshire LMI, Focus on Construction, <http://www.o2i.org/content/lmi> [↑](#footnote-ref-20)
21. Oxfordshire LMI, Focus on Retail and Sales, <http://www.o2i.org/content/lmi> [↑](#footnote-ref-21)
22. Burning Glass Technologies, Labour Insight, Jan-Sep 2016 [↑](#footnote-ref-22)
23. CITB, Construction Skills Network Report [↑](#footnote-ref-23)
24. Oxfordshire LMI, Focus on Construction [↑](#footnote-ref-24)
25. CITB, Client Based Approach: To developing and implementing an Employment and Skills Strategy on Construction Projects, Local Client Guidance’ – England, March 2016, p. 28 [↑](#footnote-ref-25)
26. Constructing Leicester: Informal Guidance Note on Employment and Skills Plans, <https://www.leicester.gov.uk/business/start-up-and-growth/constructing-leicester/> (on 24th October 2016) [↑](#footnote-ref-26)
27. The Home Builders Federation estimates that every new home built creates 1.5 direct house building jobs plus 0.9 jobs in the supply chain (<http://www.hbf.co.uk/media-centre/news/view/jobs-boom-over-100000-new-jobs-created-by-house-building-surge/>) [↑](#footnote-ref-27)
28. Economic Forecasting to inform the Oxfordshire Strategic Economic Plan and Strategic Housing Market Assessment’, p.30 [↑](#footnote-ref-28)
29. Economic Development Strategy for Cherwell 2011-2016, p.9 [↑](#footnote-ref-29)
30. Economic Development Strategy for Cherwell 2011-2016, p.11 [↑](#footnote-ref-30)
31. Ibid, p.10 [↑](#footnote-ref-31)
32. South Oxfordshire Employment Land Review September 2015, p. 29 [↑](#footnote-ref-32)
33. West Oxfordshire Local Plan 2031 Submission Draft including Proposed Modifications, Nov. 2016, p. 19 [↑](#footnote-ref-33)